15.000

15.210 Forms

Subpart 15.3—Source Selection

- 15.300 Scope of subpart.
- 15.301 [Reserved]
- 15.302 Source selection objective.
- 15.303 Responsibilities.
- 15.304 Evaluation factors and significant subfactors.
- 15.305 Proposal evaluation.
- 15.306 Exchanges with offerors after receipt of proposals.
- 15.307 Proposal revisions.
- 15.308 Source selection decision.

Subpart 15.4—Contract Pricing

- 15.400 Scope of subpart.
- 15.401 Definitions.
- 15.402 Pricing policy.
- 15.403 Obtaining certified cost or pricing data.
- 15.403-1 Prohibition on obtaining certified cost or pricing data (10 U.S.C. 2306a and 41 U.S.C. chapter 35).
- 15.403-2 Other circumstances where certified cost or pricing data are not required.
- 15.403-3 Requiring data other than certified cost or pricing data.
- 15.403-4 Requiring certified cost or pricing data (10 U.S.C. 2306a and 41 U.S.C. chapter 35).
- 15.403-5 Instructions for submission of certified cost or pricing data and data other than certified cost or pricing data.
- 15.404 Proposal analysis.
- 15.404-1 Proposal analysis techniques.
- 15.404-2 Data to support proposal analysis.
- 15.404-3 Subcontract pricing considerations.
- 15.404-4 Profit.
- 15.405 Price negotiation.
- 15.406 Documentation.
- 15.406-1 Prenegotiation objectives.
- 15.406-2 Certificate of current cost or pricing data.
- 15.406-3 Documenting the negotiation.
- 15.407 Special cost or pricing areas.
- 15.407-1 Defective certified cost or pricing data.
- 15.407-2 Make-or-buy programs.
- 15.407-3 Forward pricing rate agreements.
- 15.407-4 Should-cost review.
- 15.407-5 Estimating systems.
- 15.408 Solicitation provisions and contract clauses.

Subpart 15.5—Preaward, Award, and Postaward Notifications, Protests, and Mistakes

- 15.501 Definition.
- 15.502 Applicability.
- 15.503 Notifications to unsuccessful offerors.
- 15.504 Award to successful offeror.
- 15.505 Preaward debriefing of offerors.

48 CFR Ch. 1 (10-1-15 Edition)

- 15 506 Postaward debriefing of offerors
- 15.507 Protests against award.
- 15.508 Discovery of mistakes.
- 15.509 Forms.

Subpart 15.6—Unsolicited Proposals

- 15.600 Scope of subpart.
- 15.601 Definitions.
- 15.602 Policy.
- 15.603 General.
- 15.604 Agency points of contact.
- 15.605 Content of unsolicited proposals.
- 15.606 Agency procedures.
- 15.606-1 Receipt and initial review.
- 15.606-2 Evaluation.
- 15.607 Criteria for acceptance and negotiation of an unsolicited proposal.
- 15.608 Prohibitions.
- 15.609 Limited use of data.

AUTHORITY: 40 U.S.C. 121(c); 10 U.S.C. chapter 137; and 51 U.S.C. 20113.

SOURCE: 62 FR 51230, Sept. 30, 1997, unless otherwise noted.

15.000 Scope of part.

This part prescribes policies and procedures governing competitive and noncompetitive negotiated acquisitions. A contract awarded using other than sealed bidding procedures is a negotiated contract (see 14.101).

15.001 Definitions.

As used in this part—

Deficiency is a material failure of a proposal to meet a Government requirement or a combination of significant weaknesses in a proposal that increases the risk of unsuccessful contract performance to an unacceptable level.

Proposal modification is a change made to a proposal before the solicitation closing date and time, or made in response to an amendment, or made to correct a mistake at any time before award.

Proposal revision is a change to a proposal made after the solicitation closing date, at the request of or as allowed by a contracting officer, as the result of negotiations.

Weakness means a flaw in the proposal that increases the risk of unsuccessful contract performance. A "significant weakness" in the proposal is a flaw that appreciably increases the

Federal Acquisition Regulation

risk of unsuccessful contract performance

[62 FR 51230, Sept. 30, 1997, as amended at 66 FR 2129, Jan. 10, 2001]

15.002 Types of negotiated acquisition.

- (a) Sole source acquisitions. When contracting in a sole source environment, the request for proposals (RFP) should be tailored to remove unnecessary information and requirements; e.g., evaluation criteria and voluminous proposal preparation instructions.
- (b) Competitive acquisitions. When contracting in a competitive environment, the procedures of this part are intended to minimize the complexity of the solicitation, the evaluation, and the source selection decision, while maintaining a process designed to foster an impartial and comprehensive evaluation of offerors' proposals, leading to selection of the proposal representing the best value to the Government (see 2.101).

Subpart 15.1—Source Selection Processes and Techniques

15.100 Scope of subpart.

This subpart describes some of the acquisition processes and techniques that may be used to design competitive acquisition strategies suitable for the specific circumstances of the acquisition.

15.101 Best value continuum.

An agency can obtain best value in negotiated acquisitions by using any one or a combination of source selection approaches. In different types of acquisitions, the relative importance of cost or price may vary. For example, in acquisitions where the requirement is clearly definable and the risk of unsuccessful contract performance is minimal, cost or price may play a dominant role in source selection. The less definitive the requirement, the more development work required, or the greater the performance risk, the more technical or past performance considerations may play a dominant role in source selection.

15.101-1 Tradeoff process.

- (a) A tradeoff process is appropriate when it may be in the best interest of the Government to consider award to other than the lowest priced offeror or other than the highest technically rated offeror.
- (b) When using a tradeoff process, the following apply:
- (1) All evaluation factors and significant subfactors that will affect contract award and their relative importance shall be clearly stated in the solicitation; and
- (2) The solicitation shall state whether all evaluation factors other than cost or price, when combined, are significantly more important than, approximately equal to, or significantly less important than cost or price.
- (c) This process permits tradeoffs among cost or price and non-cost factors and allows the Government to accept other than the lowest priced proposal. The perceived benefits of the higher priced proposal shall merit the additional cost, and the rationale for tradeoffs must be documented in the file in accordance with 15.406.

15.101-2 Lowest price technically acceptable source selection process.

- (a) The lowest price technically acceptable source selection process is appropriate when best value is expected to result from selection of the technically acceptable proposal with the lowest evaluated price.
- (b) When using the lowest price technically acceptable process, the following apply:
- (1) The evaluation factors and significant subfactors that establish the requirements of acceptability shall be set forth in the solicitation. Solicitations shall specify that award will be made on the basis of the lowest evaluated price of proposals meeting or exceeding the acceptability standards for noncost factors. If the contracting officer documents the file pursuant to 15.304(c)(3)(iii), past performance need not be an evaluation factor in lowest price technically acceptable source selections. If the contracting officer elects to consider past performance as an evaluation factor, it shall be evaluated in accordance with 15.305. However, the comparative assessment in